1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		DISTRICT COURT
8	WESTERN DISTRIC	T OF WASHINGTON ACOMA
9		ACOMA
0	LAND ROVER, a foreign company,	
1	Plaintiff,	No.
2	V.	COMPLAINT FOR TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT, DILUTION, AND
3	BRITISH NORTHWEST ROVER, LTD.,	RELATED CLAIMS
4	f/k/a British Northwest Land-Rover Co., and CHARLES KELLOGG,	
5	Defendants.	
6		
7	Plaintiff Land Rover, by and throu	gh its undersigned attorneys, for its Complaint
8	against Defendants British Northwest Rove	er, Ltd. f/k/a British Northwest Land-Rover Co.

("British") and Charles Kellogg ("Kellogg"), alleges as follows:

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

PARTIES

1. Plaintiff Land Rover is an unlimited company organized under the laws of England and Wales, and has a place of business at Banbury Road, Gaydon, Warwick Warwickshire CV35 0RR United Kingdom.

2. Defendant British is a Washington for-profit company with its principal place of business located at 1043 Kaiser Road SW, Olympia, Washington 98512, Ex A

COMPLAINT FOR TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT, DILUTION, AND RELATED CLAIMS – Page 1



T 206.516.3800 F 206.516.3888

(excerpts from Defendants' current website) and a registered office address of 1800 Cooper Pt Rd SW, Bldg 3, Olympia, Washington 98502. Ex B (WA Sec. of State Records).

3. Defendant Kellogg is a Washington resident residing at 7240 Cooper Point Rd. NW, Bldg 3, Olympia, Washington, and the President, Vice President, Secretary, and Treasurer of British. **Ex B**.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 15 U.S.C. § 1121(a), 28 U.S.C. § 1331, and 28 U.S.C. § 1338 in that this case arises under the Trademark Law of the United States, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1051, et. seq. Jurisdiction over the related state-law claims exists under 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

Venue and personal jurisdiction are appropriate in this Court under 5. 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because one or more defendants are located in this District, and because a substantial part of the events and omissions giving rise to Plaintiff's claims occurred in this District.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Land Rover and its Asserted Trademarks

9. Land Rover has manufactured LAND ROVER brand vehicles for over 60 years. During that time, Land Rover has been recognized as a premier automotive brand in the United States, and its vehicles are known for their luxury standards and rugged capability.

10. The Land Rover model family currently includes the RANGE ROVER, RANGE ROVER SPORT, RANGE ROVER EVOQUE, DISCOVERY, DEFENDER, LR2, LR3, and LR4 vehicles.

11. In addition to vehicles, Land Rover provides repair and maintenance services under license by its nationwide dealer network. Land Rover also licenses its trademarks for a wide variety of automotive parts and accessories, and general merchandise, from apparel

COMPLAINT FOR TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT, DILUTION, AND RELATED CLAIMS - Page 2

Case 3:12-cv-05682-BHS Document 1 Filed 08/01/12 Page 3 of 16

to pens to coffee. Land Rover sells and licenses for sale a wide range of replacement parts and accessories for vehicles under the Land Rover trademarks, including automotive parts, engines, running boards, and, most relevant to this case, bumpers, rock sliders, suspension kits, and lift kits. Some of these products are manufactured by Land Rover directly, and others are manufactured by third-parties upon request of Land Rover. Some parts and accessories are sold directly by Land Rover, and others are sold through authorized dealers or licensees.

12. In addition to its widespread use, Land Rover owns a number of registrations for the LAND ROVER mark and the Land Rover Logo across numerous categories of goods and services. Land Rover also owns registrations for the marks ROVER and RANGE ROVER for vehicles, parts, and repair and maintenance services for motor vehicles. Land Rover's registrations include the following representative registrations that are attached as **Ex C**:

Mark	U.S. Reg. No.	Goods / Services
EAND- -ROVER	1,201,939	Automobiles and structural parts therefor (Class 012)
LAND= =ROVER	3,485,024	Sports utility vehicles (Class 012)
LAND= =ROVER	3,524,906	Automobile repair and maintenance. (Class 037)

COMPLAINT FOR TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT, DILUTION, AND RELATED CLAIMS – Page 3



Case 3:12-cv-05682-BHS Document 1 Filed 08/01/12 Page 4 of 16

1 Mark U.S. Reg. Goods / Services No. 2 0,541,722 Motor cars, commercial motor road vehicles, LAND ROVER 3 namely, trucks, truck trailer combinations, estate wagons, and structural parts thereof (class 012) 4 LAND ROVER 1,876,223 Paints for use in the manufacture and repair of 5 automobiles (Class 002) 6 Synthetic and mineral based oils, greases and lubricants for automobiles (Class 004) 7 Metal hardware, namely nuts, bolts, washers, pop-rivets, wire tow ropes and metal badges 8 (Class 006) 9 [C]arburetors and engine fuel injectors; turbochargers; electric ignitions; engine speed 10 governors; . . . electric generators; and parts for the aforesaid goods (Class 007) 11 Automotive vehicle air conditioners; automotive 12 vehicle lights, namely headlights, tail lights, interior lights, indicator lights, spot lights, 13 foglights, reversing lights and high intensity rear fog lights (Class 011) 14 Oil filters for automobiles (Class 012) 15 Automobile floor mats (Class 027) 16 Repair and maintenance services for motor 17 vehicles and for parts, fittings and accessories of motor vehicles. (Class 037) 18 LAND ROVER 2,767,628 Motor vehicles, namely, automobiles, sportutility vehicles, trucks and vans, and structural 19 parts and engines therefor; bicycles. (Class 012) 20 ROVER 3.566.367 Automobiles and their structural parts and engines; fitted and semi-fitted vehicle covers, 21 rooftop carriers, insignia badges, sunshades, steering wheel covers, grilles, bug deflectors, 22 wheel covers, wheels, mud flaps, all for automobiles (Class 012) 23 ROVER 3,474,334 Automobiles and structural parts therefor (Class 24 012) 25 RANGE ROVER 1,876,307 Repair and maintenance services for motor vehicles and for parts, fittings, and accessories 26 of motor vehicles (class 037)

COMPLAINT FOR TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT, DILUTION, AND RELATED CLAIMS – Page 4



Case 3:12-cv-05682-BHS Document 1 Filed 08/01/12 Page 5 of 16

Mark	U.S. Reg. No.	Goods / Services
RANGE ROVER	0,929,034	Road and cross-country motor car of the station- wagon type and structural parts thereof (class 012)

13. The above registrations are owned by Land Rover, were duly issued in compliance with law, and they are valid and subsisting. Further, many of these registrations have become incontestable.

14. The LAND ROVER brand and vehicles are often referred to by customers as "ROVER" vehicles or "ROVERS," and this name is readily associated with Land Rover. Collectively, the LAND ROVER mark, the above-listed Land Rover logos, the RANGE ROVER mark, the ROVER mark, and Land Rover's common law marks (including the designations of its various vehicle models) are referred to herein as the "Land Rover Marks."

15. Over the past 60 years, Land Rover has spent millions of dollars establishing the LAND ROVER brand and the Land Rover Marks in the minds of its customers and the public, and has established itself as a source of high quality vehicles, parts and accessories.

16. As a result of Land Rover's continuous use, extensive sales, advertising and promotion of the Land Rover Marks, these marks enjoy worldwide recognition and a world-famous reputation, and are recognized by the public as emanating from Land Rover. The Land Rover Marks were famous prior to any use of these marks by Defendants.

17. The Land Rover Marks symbolize the business goodwill of Land Rover, and are an intangible asset of substantial commercial value.

Defendants Are Using Marks Confusingly Similar to the Land Rover Marks With the Same Types of Goods and Services That Land Rover Offers

18. Land Rover first became aware of British and its infringing activities in September 2011. In October 2011, through counsel, Land Rover made written demand on

COMPLAINT FOR TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT, DILUTION, AND RELATED CLAIMS – Page 5



Case 3:12-cv-05682-BHS Document 1 Filed 08/01/12 Page 6 of 16

British to cease and desist infringing the Land Rover Marks. Consistently since that time until now, Land Rover's counsel has attempted to dialogue and negotiate a resolution with counsel for British. *See*, *e.g.*, **Ex D** (April 2012 letter). British has been evasive and largely non-cooperative, making this lawsuit a necessary last resort.

19. When Land Rover first discovered British, British was operating under the name "British Northwest Land-Rover Co." and had its website at <www.land-roverco.co>. **Ex E** (WHOIS records); **Ex F** (screenshots of land-roverco.com website from 2004-2007). On information and belief, that is the name that British operated under from its founding until approximately December 2011, when—in response to multiple contacts from Land Rover's counsel—British unilaterally elected to change its name to "British Northwest Rover, Ltd." *See* **Ex B**. In or about February 2012, British relocated its website to <www.britishnwrover.com>. **Ex G** (WHOIS records); *compare* **Ex F** (screenshots of prior website) *with* **Ex A** (excerpt of current website).

20. British advertises and performs a variety of maintenance and restoration services on LAND ROVER vehicles, as well as related parts and information (the "British Goods and Services"). *Id.* Its advertisements for the British Goods and Services make prominent use of the Land Rover Marks, especially LAND ROVER and ROVER, as well as images of LAND ROVER vehicles in a manner that suggests endorsement, approval, or affiliation with Land Rover. *Id.*

21. British advertises and sells (or did until recently) the British Goods and Services through the websites located at the domain names <www.land-roverco.com> and <www.britishnwrover.com> (the "Infringing Domain Names"). Land Rover will refer to the trademarks, service marks, trade names, and domain names used in commerce by British as the "Infringing Marks." The Infringing Domain Names contain certain of the Land Rover Marks and are likely to cause confusion in the marketplace as to whether Land Rover approves, endorses, or is affiliated with British.

COMPLAINT FOR TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT, DILUTION, AND RELATED CLAIMS – Page 6



Case 3:12-cv-05682-BHS Document 1 Filed 08/01/12 Page 7 of 16

22. Indeed, the confusing similarity between the Infringing Marks and the Land Rover Marks has already been made clear by multiple instances of actual confusion. For example, in September 2011, Land Rover's Customer Relationship Center received complaints from a consumer who mistakenly believed that British was affiliated with Land Rover. And in October 2011, Land Rover received misdirected freight bills that were intended for British.

23. Moreover, being linked with British in the public's perception can only cause injury to Land Rover's goodwill, in light of the reputation for poor customer service and dishonest practices that Defendants have developed in the marketplace. *See*, *e.g.*, **Ex H** (collection of complaints about Defendants in online message boards).

Defendants' Continued Infringement of the Land Rover Marks <u>Is Inflicting Irreparable Harm on Land Rover</u>

24. Defendants are not authorized Land Rover dealers or parts/accessories licensees or resellers, nor are Defendants otherwise authorized to use the Land Rover Marks (or confusingly similar variations thereof) in connection with their business or in any manner that is likely to express or imply to the purchasing public that Defendants have been authorized by or are affiliated with or sponsored by Land Rover.

25. Defendants' use, both individually and collectively, of the Infringing Marks and the "Infringing Domain Names" in connection with the British Goods and Services is likely to cause confusion, mistake, or deception of purchasers regarding the source of origin of the British Goods and Services.

26. Defendants' use, both individually and collectively, of the Infringing Marks and Infringing Domain Names in connection with the British Goods and Services is likely to dilute the distinctive nature of the Land Rover Marks and the exclusive rights Land Rover enjoys.

27. Defendants' infringement has been willful and deliberate, designed specifically to trade on the goodwill associated with the Land Rover Marks. As stated

COMPLAINT FOR TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT, DILUTION, AND RELATED CLAIMS – Page 7

above, Defendants have declined to cease the complained-of activities despite Land Rover's numerous requests. And despite Land Rover's initial letter to Defendants advising them of Land Rover's ownership of the ROVER mark, Defendants recently adopted a new name featuring that mark with full knowledge of Land Rover's rights.

COUNT I

FEDERAL TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT UNDER 15 U.S.C. §§ 1114 and 1125

28. The allegations of the foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein by reference.

29. Despite Land Rover's well-known prior rights, Defendants have used and continue to use in commerce without the consent of Land Rover, one or more Land Rover Marks, or counterfeits, copies, reproductions or colorable imitations thereof in connection with the sale, offering for sale, distribution, and/or advertising of the British Goods and Services. Such use is likely to cause confusion, mistake, or deception among consumers.

30. Defendants' actions constitute willful infringement of Land Rover's exclusive rights in one or more Land Rover Marks in violation of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1114 and 1125.

31. Defendants' use of the Land Rover Marks and counterfeits, copies, reproductions, or colorable imitations thereof has been and continues to be done with the intent to cause confusion, mistake, and to deceive customers concerning the source and/or sponsorship of the British Goods and Services.

32. Because Defendants knowingly and intentionally used counterfeits, copies, reproductions, or colorable imitations of the Land Rover Marks in connection with their products and services, this is an exceptional case within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 1117(a).

33. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' conduct, Land Rover has suffered irreparable harm to the valuable Land Rover Marks and its reputation in the

COMPLAINT FOR TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT, DILUTION, AND RELATED CLAIMS – Page 8

Case 3:12-cv-05682-BHS Document 1 Filed 08/01/12 Page 9 of 16

industry, and other damages in an amount to be proved at trial. Unless Defendants are restrained from further infringement of the Land Rover Marks, Land Rover will continue to be irreparably harmed.

34. Land Rover has no adequate remedy at law that will compensate for the continued and irreparable harm it will suffer if Defendants' acts are allowed to continue.

COUNT II

UNFAIR COMPETITION, FALSE DESIGNATION OF ORIGIN, <u>AND FALSE ADVERTISING UNDER 15 U.S.C. § 1125</u>

35. The allegations of the foregoing Paragraphs are incorporated herein by reference.

36. Defendants have knowingly used and continue to use in commerce one or more Land Rover Marks or counterfeits, reproductions, copies, or colorable imitations thereof, in connection with the goods and services that the Defendants manufacture, advertise, promote, and sell.

37. Defendants' use of the marks as alleged above is likely to confuse, mislead, or deceive customers, purchasers, and members of the general public as to the origin, source, sponsorship, or affiliation of Defendants and Land Rover and/or the British Goods and Services and Land Rover's products and services, and is likely to cause such people to believe in error that the British Goods and Services have been authorized, sponsored, approved, endorsed, or licensed by Land Rover or that the Defendants are in some way affiliated with Land Rover.

38. Defendants' actions were knowing and intentional and thus render this case exceptional within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 1117(a).

39. Defendants' acts constitute false and misleading descriptions of fact, false advertising, and false designations of the origin and/or sponsorship of the British Goods and Services, and constitute trademark infringement in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a).

COMPLAINT FOR TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT, DILUTION, AND RELATED CLAIMS – Page 9

YARMUTH WILSDON PLLC 818 STEWART STREET, SUITE 1400 SEATTLE WASHINGTON 98101 T 206.516.3800 F 206.516.3888

Case 3:12-cv-05682-BHS Document 1 Filed 08/01/12 Page 10 of 16

40. By reason of Defendants' actions, Land Rover has suffered irreparable harm to its valuable Land Rover Marks. Unless Defendants are restrained from their actions, Land Rover will continue to be irreparably harmed.

41. Land Rover has no remedy at law that will compensate it for the continued and irreparable harm that will be caused if Defendants' acts are allowed to continue.

42. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' conduct, Land Rover has suffered damages to the valuable Land Rover Marks, and other damages in an amount to be proved at trial.

COUNT III

CYBERSQUATTING UNDER 15 U.S.C. § 1125(d)(1)(A)

43. The allegations of the foregoing Paragraphs are incorporated herein by reference.

44. The Land Rover Marks are distinctive and were distinctive at the time that Defendants registered the Infringing Domain Names. Despite knowledge of that distinctiveness, Defendants registered and used the Infringing Domain Names in connection with the British Goods and Services. The Infringing Domain Names are likely to cause confusion with and dilution of the famous Land Rover Marks.

45. Defendants' use and registration of the Infringing Domain Names was and is in bad faith, as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1125(d)(1)(B)(i).

46. Land Rover has been irreparably harmed by Defendants' use of the Infringing Domain Names, and will continue to suffer irreparable harm if Defendants' acts are allowed to continue. Land Rover has no adequate remedy at law.

COMPLAINT FOR TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT, DILUTION, AND RELATED CLAIMS – Page 10



COUNT IV

TRADEMARK DILUTION UNDER 15 U.S.C. § 1125(c) AND COMMON LAW TRADEMARK DILUTION

47. The allegations of the foregoing Paragraphs are incorporated herein by reference.

48. One or more of the Land Rover Marks have become famous and distinctive in the United States and worldwide through the extensive, continuous and exclusive use of them in connection with Land Rover's products. These marks were famous prior to any use of these marks or similar marks by Defendants.

49. Despite knowledge of Land Rover's famous trademarks, Defendants used and continue to use in commerce spurious and colorable imitations thereof in connection with the advertisement, promotion, and sale of the British Goods and Services.

50. Defendants' actions as described herein have caused and continue to cause irreparable injury to, and a likelihood of dilution of, the distinctive quality of the famous Land Rover Marks in violation of Land Rover's rights under 15 U.S.C. § 1125(c) and common law. Defendants' wrongful use of Land Rover's famous trademarks is likely to dilute the distinctive nature of those marks and to tarnish their reputation.

51. Defendants have used and continue to use in commerce the Land Rover Marks and/or closely similar marks willfully and with the intent to dilute the marks, and with the intent to trade on the reputation and good will of Land Rover and the Land Rover Marks. Accordingly, this is an exceptional case within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 1117(a).

52. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' conduct, Land Rover has suffered irreparable harm to the valuable Land Rover Marks, and other damages in an amount to be proved at trial. Unless Defendants are enjoined, the valuable Land Rover Marks will continue to be irreparably harmed and likely to be diluted.

COMPLAINT FOR TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT, DILUTION, AND RELATED CLAIMS – Page 11

818 STEWART STREET, SUITE 1400 SEATTLE WASHINGTON 98101 T 206.516.3800 F 206.516.3888

1 53. Land Rover has no adequate remedy at law that will compensate for the 2 continued and irreparable harm it will suffer if Defendants' actions are allowed to continue. 3 PRAYER FOR RELIEF 4 WHEREFORE, Land Rover respectfully requests entry of a judgment granting relief 5 against the Defendants as follows: A determination that Defendants willfully and deliberately violated 15 6 A. 7 U.S.C. § 1114, that Land Rover has been damaged by such violation, and that the 8 Defendants are liable to Land Rover for such violation: 9 B. A determination that Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. §§ 1125(a), (c), and 10 (d)(1)(A)-(B), that Land Rover has been damaged by such violations, and that Defendants 11 are liable to Land Rover for such violations; 12 C. A determination that the Defendants committed common law trademark 13 infringement, that Land Rover has been damaged by such infringement, and that 14 Defendants are liable to Land Rover for common law trademark infringement; 15 A determination that this case is "exceptional" in the sense of 15 U.S.C. § D. 16 1117(a); 17 E. Under all claims for relief, that an injunction be temporarily, preliminarily, 18 and permanently issued enjoining Defendants and their officers, employees, agents, 19 successors and assigns, and all those in active concert and participation with them, and each 20 of them who receives notice directly or otherwise of such injunctions, from: 21 imitating, copying, or making any unauthorized use of the Land (1)Rover Marks, or marks likely to cause confusion with them, including but not 22 limited to the Infringing Marks, the Infringing Domain Names, and the Land Rover Marks: 23 importing, manufacturing, producing, distributing, circulating, (2)24 selling, offering for sale, advertising, promoting or displaying any service or product using any simulation, reproduction, counterfeit, copy, or colorable 25 imitation of the Land Rover Marks; 26 using any simulation, reproduction, counterfeit, copy or colorable (3) imitation of the Land Rover Marks in connection with the promotion, COMPLAINT FOR TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT,

DILUTION, AND RELATED CLAIMS – Page 12

advertisement, display, sale, offer for sale, manufacture, production, circulation or distribution of any product or service, including but not limited to the Infringing Marks and the Infringing Domain Names;

(4) using any false designation of origin or false description (including, without limitation, any letters, symbols, or designs constituting the Land Rover Marks) or performing any act, which can, or is likely to, lead members of the trade or public to believe that any service or product manufactured, distributed or sold by Defendants is in any manner associated or connected with Land Rover or the Land Rover Marks, or is sold, manufactured, licensed, sponsored, approved or authorized by Land Rover;

(5) filing an application with the USPTO or any state or local government to register any of the Infringing Marks, or any other mark likely to cause confusion with the Land Rover Marks;

(6) all of the above with the exception of nominative fair use of the Land Rover Marks.

F. An Order directing that Defendants deliver for destruction all products, promotional and advertising materials, labels, tags, signs, prints, packages, videos or other materials in their possession or under their control, bearing or using unauthorized versions of the Land Rover Marks or any simulation, reproduction, counterfeit, copy or colorable imitation thereof (including but not limited to the Infringing Marks and the Infringing Domain Names), and all plates, molds, matrices and other means of making the same, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1118;

G. An Order directing the transfer of the Infringing Domain Names to Land Rover or its designee;

H. An Order directing such other relief as the Court may deem appropriate to prevent the trade and public from deriving the erroneous impression that any service or product manufactured, sold or otherwise circulated or promoted by Defendants is authorized by Land Rover or related in any way to Land Rover's products and services;

I. An Order directing the Defendants and their agents, employees, servants, attorneys, successors, and assigns, and all others in privity or acting in concert therewith, to file with this Court, and serve upon Land Rover's counsel within thirty (30) days after entry

COMPLAINT FOR TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT, DILUTION, AND RELATED CLAIMS – Page 13



Case 3:12-cv-05682-BHS Document 1 Filed 08/01/12 Page 14 of 16

of such judgment, a written report under oath, setting forth in detail the manner and form in which they have complied with such judgment;

J. An Order permitting Land Rover, and/or auditors of Land Rover, to audit and inspect the books, records, and premises of the Defendants and related entities for a period of six (6) months after entry of final relief in this matter, to determine the scope of the Defendants' past use of Land Rover's intellectual property, including all manufacturing, distribution, and sales of products and services bearing Land Rover's trademarks, as well as the Defendants' compliance with the orders of this Court;

K. An award of Land Rover's costs and disbursements incurred in this action, including Land Rover's reasonable attorney's fees;

L. An award of Land Rover's damages trebled or, alternatively, an award of Defendants' wrongful profits trebled, whichever is greater, plus Land Rover's costs and attorney's fees, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117;

M. An award to Land Rover of its costs incurred in this action, including an award of reasonable attorney fees under 17 U.S.C. § 1114;

N. As award to Land Rover of statutory damages under 15 U.S.C. § 1125(d);

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

O. An award of Land Rover's damages arising out of Defendants' acts;

P. An Order requiring Defendants to file with the Court and provide to Land Rover an accounting of all sales and profits realized by Defendants through the use of the Land Rover Marks and any confusingly similar marks or counterfeits, copies, reproductions or colorable imitations thereof (including but not limited to the Infringing Marks and the Infringing Domain Names);

|| ///

| ///

|| ///

|| ///

COMPLAINT FOR TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT, DILUTION, AND RELATED CLAIMS – Page 14



Case 3:12-cv-05682-BHS Document 1 Filed 08/01/12 Page 15 of 16

1	Q. An award of interest, including pre-judgment interest on the foregoing sums;
2	R. Such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and appropriate.
3	
4	DATED: August 1, 2012.
5	YARMUTH WILSDON PLLC
6	
7	By: <u>/s/ Hozaifa Y. Cassubhai</u> Scott T. Wilsdon, WSBA # 20608
8	Hozaifa Y. Cassubhai, WSBA # 39512 818 Stewart Street, Suite 1400
9	Seattle, Washington 98101 206.516.3800
10	206.516.3888 (facsimile) wilsdon@yarmuth.com
11	hcassubhai@yarmuth.com
12	HONIGMAN MILLER SCHWARTZ
13	AND COHN LLP
14	Brian D. Wassom (<i>pro hac vice</i> pending) 39400 Woodward Ave., Suite 101 Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304-5151
15	248.566.8490 bdw@honigman.com
16	Attorneys for Plaintiff Land Rover
17	Attorneys for Flaintiff Land Kover
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	



818 STEWART STREET, SUITE 1400 SEATTLE WASHINGTON 98101 T 206.516.3800 F 206.516.3888

Case 3:12-cv-05682-BHS Document 1 Filed 08/01/12 Page 16 of 16

1
2
3
4
5

Index of Exhibits

Exhibit	Page Nos.	Description
А	17-60	Excerpts from Defendants' current website at <www.britishnwrover.com></www.britishnwrover.com>
В	61-62	Washington Secretary of State Records for British Northwest Rover, Ltd.
С	63-80	Selected Land Rover trademark registrations
D	81-82	April 2012 letter from Land Rover counsel to Defendants' counsel
Е	83-86	WHOIS records for <www.land-roverco.com></www.land-roverco.com>
F	87-90	Screenshots of <www.land-roverco.com> from 2004 to 2007</www.land-roverco.com>
G	91	WHOIS records for <www.britishnwrover.com></www.britishnwrover.com>
Н	92-96	Collection of complaints about Defendants in online message boards

COMPLAINT FOR TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT, DILUTION, AND RELATED CLAIMS – Page 16



818 STEWART STREET, SUITE 1400 SEATTLE WASHINGTON 98101 T 206.516.3800 F 206.516.3888